Rhode Island STROKE SYMPOSIUM

Cardiac Monitoring After Stroke: Who and How Long Farhan Khan The Warren Alpert Medical School



THE WARREN ALPERT Medical School

BROWN UNIVERSITY

DISCLOSURE





• No relevant financial relationships to disclose> • My talk will not include any off-label discussion

- Cardio-embolism account for 25-30%
 - >50% caused by atrial fibrillation
 - Intracardiac thrombus
- Pattern of ischemic stroke
 - Cortical/subcortical
 - Striato-capsular due to stem occlusion
 - Silent strokes
- Associated with high recurrence and severity
- Anticoagulation is associated with
 - ~65% decrease in recurrent stroke risk(AVVEROES)
 - Dec morbidity and mortality





Whom to Monitor After A Stroke

– Stroke etiology		0.5
 Wedge shaped cortical/subcortical pattern 		0.4
 All ESUS population 	lity	
— Older age, ≥ 75 years	Probabi	0.3
• ARR 1.5% under 55 years		0.2
 Higher atrial premature beats burden 		
 count(629beats/24h) in AF vs 45 beats /24 		0.1
hour		
– Atrial tachycardia ≥20 beats(HR 2.7; 95% CI 1.2-6)		



0

Cardiac biomarkers(atrial stretch)

- NT-proBNP >400 pg/mL(aOR 6.17,;95% Cl 4.31–8.84)
- BNP >100(aOR 4.49; 95% CI 3.26–6.2)
- Cardiac morphology
 - Left atrial size >46 mm(HR 3.6; 95% CI 1.6-8.4)
 - LAVI greater than 28 mL/m²
 - Low atrial strain
 - Mitral valve disease(rheumatic, MR)
 - Echocardiographic contrast or solid thrombi



Methods of Monitoring

- EKG
 - In office EKGs
 - Holter(24-48 hours)
 - Event monitor(up to 4 weeks)
- Smartwatches and Fitness trackers
 - Apple watch, Fitbit, Garmin
- Implantable devices
 - Loop recorders
 - Pacemakers and ICDs





Patient initiated (or medical professional) oscillometric blood pressure cuff

Pulse palpation, auscultation



Patient initiated photoplethysmogram on smartphone

Semi-continuous photoplethysmogram on a smartwatch or wearable





Stroke unit/in-hospital telemetry monitoring

Long-term Holter





1-2 week continuous ECG patches

Wearable belts for continuous recordings



Implantable cardiac monitors

- Detection with 12 ECG
 - Between 2%-5%
- 24-hour Holter
 - 2% to 6%
- CRYSTAL-AF at 6 months
 - 9% vs 1.4%(HR, 6.4; 95% confidence interval [CI],
 1.9 to 21.7; P<0.001)
 - Median time 41 days vs 32 days
 - Asymptomatic in 14/19 in ICM and 1/3 in control
- At 12 months
 - 12.4% vs 2 %(HR, 7.3; 95% Cl, 2.6 to 20.8; P<0.001)</p>
- At 36 months
 - 30% vs 3%(***)



- **EMBRACE** trial ullet
 - 30-day external loop recorder vs 24-hour Holter
 - Detection rates
 - 16.1% vs 3.2%(95% Cl 8.0-17.6)
- PER DIEM trial
 - ICM vs external heart monitor
 - 15.3% vs 4.7% (absolute difference 10.7%, 95%) CI 4.0-17.3%)
 - Definite AF(any duration) or death within 12mo -HR 2.64; 95% CI 1.27-5.49,p=0.009
 - AF lasting \geq 2minutes

-HR 3.36; 95 % Cl, 1.4-7.8, p=0.005





- STROKE-AF
 - Subclinical AF in known stroke mechanism(LAA or SVID)
 - Overall, 12.1 vs. 1.8 %, ARD 10.3%, HR 7.4, 95% CI 2.6-21.3
 - At 6 mos, 7.9% vs. 0.8%(HR, 9.9; 95% Cl, 2.3-43.5)
 - At 3 years, 21% vs 2.4% (HR 10;95% CI, 4-25)
 - Detection rate is low at 30 days(2.6%)
- Complications
 - Skin infection(less than 1%)
 - Skin irritation from adhesives(less than 2%)



14

10



- Digital Devices
 - Fitbit Heart Study(PPV 98%)
 - Apple Heart Study(PPV 78 %)
 - Huawei Heart Study(PPV 87%)
- Overall sensitivities are low
- Health Economic Assessments
 - 7-day vs 24 hour(ICER \$13,000/QALY)
 - 30-day monitoring is cost effective than 24 hours(ICER \$2000/QALY)
 - ICM vs standard of care monitoring(ICER of £17,175/QALY)



Take Away Points

- Longer monitoring is associated
 - Increased detection of subclinical AF
- 30-day monitoring is reasonable for ESUS population
- Lower rates of recurrent stroke with SC AF
- Longer monitoring is considered(>30days)
 - For older individuals(>75 yrs)
 - Inc. burden of PACs/Atrial tachycardia
 - LA enlargement
 - Elevated biomarkers(pro-BNP>400)

